

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,970 | 02/14/2002 | Michael Helmus | 01-202 | 9278 |
| 27774 - 7590 - 09/12/2008 MAYER & WILLIAMS PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TYSON, MELANIE RUANO | |
| 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | 1.0 0.050 | | 3773 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/075.970 HELMUS, MICHAEL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3773 Melanie Tyson All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Melanie Tyson. (3)David Bonum. (2) Jackie Ho. (4)____. Date of Interview: 09 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Ding et al. (6.099,562). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion was held regarding the applicant's invention and the prior art applied. It was agreed upon that the main difference between the two is that the applicant's entire device, including all coatings, is completely biodegradable, while Ding's device is not completely biodegradable. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.